

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/565,682	Applicant(s) TAUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner S. CAMILLA POURBOHLOUL	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) S. CAMILLA POURBOHLOUL.

(2) Attorney Surinder Sachar.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
Restriction of claims into three groups:
Group 1, II, and III

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Sacher did not elect on the phone and requested a written restriction requirement.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James J. Seidleck/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)